Global impact of physical inactivity and implications for public health nursing
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Abstract

Physical inactivity has been a public health problem worldwide for more than a decade. Of those who are physically active, a substantial percentage engage solely in low or very low physical activity (PA) levels. In the last three decades, the prevalence of PA in the United States has decreased with approximately 80% of adults not meeting the recommended guidelines for aerobic and muscle strengthening PA. The PA levels of youth have dramatically decreased with 85% of adolescents reporting no PA. Regular PA participation can aid in preventing chronic diseases. A strong inverse dose-response relationship exists between PA and the incidence of cardiovascular disease, all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. Moreover, low cardiorespiratory fitness levels are a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases: the leading cause of death and disability globally. Conversely, high amounts of moderate-to-vigorous intensity PA at levels 3 to 5 times recommended in guidelines reduce risk for all cause mortality. Socio-ecological determinants of PA are essential considerations for promoting across the life course. In health care and community settings, public health nurses have opportunities to promote PA through a socio-ecological approach across the life course of individuals and diverse populations.
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Introduction

Epidemiologic evidence suggests exercise is the ‘real polypill’ (Fiuza-Luces et al., p. 330, 2013). Yet the lack of physical activity (PA) is an urgent worldwide concern (World Health Organization [WHO], 2021) and perhaps the most important public health problem of the 21st century (Blair, 2009). Current global estimates reveal one in four adults and 81% of adolescents do not engage in adequate quantities of PA (WHO, 2021) and this estimate is increasing (Guthold et al., 2018). Moreover, approximately 80% of U.S. adults currently do not meet the recommended PA guidelines according to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, of at least 150 to 300 minutes per week of moderate intensity aerobic and muscle strengthening activity (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2021; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. [USDHHS], 2018). Of those who are physically active, a substantial percentage engage in low or very low levels of PA (Katzmarzyk et al., 2017). Physical inactivity (PI) or insufficient PA is similar to sedentary behavior (Table 1).

***Insert Table 1 here***

The current sociodemographics for PI are staggering and include 17.3 to 47.7% of U.S. adults, irrespective of age, culture, race, gender and ethnic background as inactive (CDC, 2021). Non-White populations consistently report lower PA levels (Sallis et al., 2013), suggesting disparities according to subgroups (23.4% of non-Hispanic White adults, 30.3% non-Hispanic Black, and 31.7% of Hispanic adults) exist (CDC, 2021). Perhaps most concerning is the dramatic decrease in the fitness levels of U.S. youth (CDC, 2016); youth PA is categorized according to gender, age, ability, and environmental factors (i.e., neighborhood) (Tremblay et al., 2016; Table 2) with only one in four U.S. children (CDC, 2016) and 19% of adolescents (WHO, 2021) currently meeting requirements. Most adolescents (11-17 years) on a global scale, 81%,
also do not meet the current PA guidelines, irrespective of country income (Guthold et al., 2020). The lowest PA prevalence was found in high income western countries for boys (72.1%) and south Asia for girls (77%) and amongst 80 countries, the most contributing factor to moderate-vigorous intensity PA was from work/household tasks rather than travel or leisure domains across 104 countries that were analyzed (Guthold et al., 2020).

***Insert Table 2 here***

PI in U.S. adults and youth is one of six leading causes of mortality, morbidity and associated with the social problems of 1) unintentional injuries and violence; 2) tobacco use; 3) alcohol and other drug use; 4) sexual behaviors related to unintended pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections; and 5) unhealthy dietary behaviors (Kann et al., 2016). These findings were sufficient for the WHO (2021) to warrant interventions to increase PA levels be a greater priority. A thorough understanding of the benefits of PA is therefore necessary to avoid the insidious consequences of PI, especially given the lack of well-established routine nurse-delivered PA interventions (Olsen et al., 2018). The aim of this paper is to review PA-related concepts and provide an example of the social ecological model (SEM) as a basis to influence the global health issue of the low PA levels and lack of PA participation.

**Public Health Nursing**

Public health nurses are in a unique position to address the global health problem of PI at the individual and community level. Societal changes impacting PA stem from several levels of economic development: changing transport patterns, increased use of technology for work and recreation, and the subsequent increase in sedentary behaviors. With the recent shift in healthcare to the community, public health nurses are well-positioned for policy reform, community
building, environment and physical system-level interventions involving social determinants of health to address health disparities in marginalized communities.

PA is complex with multiple determinants influencing behavior; therefore, SEM is beneficial to guide interventions (King et al., 2002) and determinants by implementing the social ecological model (SEM) as a theoretical framework. The SEM can be used to guide health promotion efforts because of the multiple levels that influence behavior: intrapersonal (biological and personal factors), interpersonal (relationships and social networks), community (neighborhood), and social/political (Stokols, 2004). The SEM may be utilized to encourage PA participation (Mehtala et al., 2014) across the life course (Condello et al., 2016) and to identify unfavorable PA patterns such as lack of PA participation (Sallis et al., 2008; Condello et al., 2016). Adverse patterns of behaviors and other factors known to affect PA participation are influenced at most levels of the SEM. There are six distinct clusters (Intra-Personal Context and Wellbeing, Family and Socioeconomic Status; Policy and Provision; Cultural Context and Media; Social Support and Modelling, and Supportive Environment) that mediate or moderate PA behaviors across the life course (Condello et al., 2016). Although the SEM is a comprehensive theoretical model to guide interventions targeting individual, interpersonal, social/policy, and environmental factors that impact PA behavior, the utilization of concept mapping is necessary in identifying how these factors interact with each other across the life course (Stokols, 2004; Condello et al., 2016). Public health nurses are encouraged to think about and apply the SEM in promoting PA participation. PHNs are also strongly encouraged to consider the components of the SEM in working with individuals and populations with the intended goal of tailoring interventions to increasing PA.

**Understanding Physical Activity Requirements**
To more thoroughly understand the duration and intensity of PA necessary for health, the current PA guidelines must be clarified according to age. The PA guidelines specific to children and adolescents are applicable across the lifespan (USDHHS, 2018); children and adolescents aged 6 through 17 years require at least 60 minutes (1 hour) of moderate-to-vigorous intensity PA per day, while adults require a minimum of 150 minutes to 300 minutes per week of moderate-intensity PA or 75 to 150 minutes of vigorous-intensity aerobic PA (USDHHS, 2018). The guidelines for children and adolescents have remained consistent in the past two decades although adult recommendations have been updated several times (USDHHS, 2018). Examples of moderate intensity exercise are presented in Table 2 and 3.

To improve cardiorespiratory fitness, any PA dose component of frequency, intensity, or time may be adjusted (ACSM, 2021; Strath et al., 2013). Although PA and exercise are often used interchangeably, they are not the same (Table 1). PA is any activity, and exercise is structured activity with a consideration of fitness (Table 1). Any PA is beneficial for health compared to none at all (Moxley & Habtzghi, 2019); however, PA is often considered useful rather than essential (Moxley & Kruk, 2016). Integrating PA into routine tasks, such as chores or work-related activities, may be necessary to sustain health. The greatest health-related benefits have actually been found in sedentary individuals who begin to exercise on a regular basis (Moxley & Habtzghi, 2019). However, the USDHHS guidelines recommend PA of at least a moderate intensity. Aerobic PA energy expenditure consistent with the PA guidelines is measured in metabolic equivalents (Table 2) or METs, which are the unit to describe energy expenditure of activities, or the ratio of the rate of energy expended during an activity to the rate of energy expended at rest. Examples of how METs translate into activities of daily living are presented in Table 3 and may be measured as light, moderate or vigorous activity.
Physical Activity and Physical Inactivity: Morbidity and Mortality Risks

The health benefits from PA are not only well-established, but are irrefutable (Bowden Davies et al., 2019; Lavie et al., 2019; Fletcher et al., 2018), providing sufficient justification to control risk factors early in life (Adams et al., 2017). In youth, the effects from short-term PI are reversible if habitual PA is resumed; however, this is less evident in older adults (Bowden Davies, 2019). Engaging in quantities of PA consistent with the guidelines demonstrates biological and disease-specific benefits such as improved mitochondria, skeletal, cardiac muscle and endothelial function (Carbone et al., 2019) and a healthy weight (WHO, 2010). Whereas, total time spent in sedentary behavior, specifically sitting (6-8 h/day) and television viewing (3-4 h/day), independent of PA, increase all-cause and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality risk (Patterson et al., 2018; Ekelund et al., 2019), (type 2 diabetes) T2DM and cancer (Ekelund et al., 2019).

Cardiovascular Disease, Atherosclerosis, Stroke and Cholesterol Levels

CVD is the leading cause of death on a global scale (Martinez-Gomez, 2019). According to an extensive review of 47 studies, PI was found to contribute to CVD risk as the relative risk of CVD-related PI was found to be similar in magnitude to the CVD risk factors of hypertension (HTN), hypercholesterolemia, and smoking (Fletcher et al., 2018), whereas a strong inverse dose-response relationship existed between routine PA and CVD risk and fatal and non-fatal CVD events (Fletcher et al.; Lavie et al., 2019). In addition, numerous studies demonstrated that higher cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) has a significant protective effect on overall mortality (Chu et al., 2020).
The benefit of PA on CV health was demonstrated several decades ago in Paffenbarger et al.’s. (1986) landmark studies. A steady decline in early death associated with CVD in longevity of San Francisco longshoremen was found to correspond with increased PA of less than 500 to 3500 kcal/week. Specifically, those expending at least 2000 kcal per week had a 25-35% lower mortality compared to less active individuals. METs (Table 2) are units describing the energy expenditure of a specific activity; the ratio of the rate of energy expended during an activity to the rate of energy expended at rest. For example, 1 MET is the amount of oxygen consumed and the calories expended at rest (ACSM, 2017).

PA also reduces ischemic stroke risk (Oza et al., 2017) and prevents stroke recurrence in post-stroke patients (Han et al., 2017). PA is associated with improvement in serum triglycerides, increased high density lipoproteins, and decreased low-density lipoprotein (Halverstadt et al., 2007), and post-prandial lipid response was found to be significantly lower in Amish individuals who had higher levels of routine PA than their sedentary counterparts (Mitchell et al., 2019).

**Obesity**

Obesity has emerged as an enormous public health problem, ironically a more significant global health concern than hunger (Poirier & Eckel, 2002), and leading cause of worldwide death and disability (Bhupathiraju & Hu, 2016). The WHO (2018) considers pediatric obesity the most serious health problem of this century. A major contributor to obesity in children is a progressive lack of PA. In 1975, just under 1% of children and adolescents aged 5-19 years were obese; however, by 2016, 6% of girls and 8% of boys were considered obese, with 13% of adults considered overweight, irrespective of gender (39%, men; 40%, women) on a global scale (WHO, 2021). Conversely, greater quantities of PA are likely associated with attenuation of weight gain in adults (USDHHS, 2018) and higher levels associated with a healthy weight status.
in children and adolescents (Poitras et al., 2016). In fact, engaging in adequate levels of PA has been found to contribute to weight loss and minimizes CVD risk independent of simultaneous changes in BMI or percent body fat (Martinez-Gomez et al., 2019).

**Diabetes**

Paralleling the obesity epidemic is type 2 diabetes (T2DM). The incidence of diabetes has doubled in recent decades with an estimated 13 percent of all U.S. adults who are diagnosed (CDC, 2020). Spending for diabetes and its sequelae far outpace other diagnoses (ADA, 2018). Several mechanisms like adipose tissue remodeling link obesity to T2DM (Carbone et al., 2019), especially abdominal obesity which is associated with insulin resistance and compromised insulin sensitivity (Bacchi et al., 2014).

PI is a greater problem in a setting of T2DM (Bowden Davis et al., 2019). Regular PA improves fasting blood glucose, and insulin resistance and/or glycemic control (hemoglobin A1c, HbA1c) in type 1 diabetes (T1DM) and T2DM (Colberg et al., 2016), and improving CRF (i.e., MET) more effectively reduces HbA1c levels (Wing et al., 2013). The importance of PA in preventing T2DM was perhaps best demonstrated in the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), a multi-center randomized prospective intervention trial that aimed to determine the effects of 150+ minutes of weekly PA on the prevention of T2DM. The outcomes of the DPP demonstrated that a lifestyle including dietary modification and exercise increased insulin sensitivity, thereby delaying progression to T2DM (Knowler et al., 2002).

**Strategies to Increase Physical Activity Participation**

**Relevance of Physical Activity for Public Health Nurses (PHNs)**

PI is a public health problem (Ainsworth & Macera, 2018) of significant magnitude in which the need for health professionals and policy makers to develop strategies to increase PA
participation are imminent (Alves et al., 2016). Evidence-based beneficial interventions (Tuso, 2015) to increase PA engagement to quantities consistent with the guidelines (USDHHS, 2018), while simultaneously reducing PI and sedentary behavior (Panahi & Tremblay, 2018) may target either the individual or community level.

In primary care settings, PHNs play a key role in counseling patients for optimization of healthy behaviors (Shuval et al., 2017). Receiving training in PA counseling may also be beneficial for PHNs to increase their knowledge and competencies in motivating individuals (Issakainen et al., 2020). PHNs may benefit from collaborating with care management nurses (CMs) who are integral in interdisciplinary management to improve self-care over time (Luther et al., 2019). CMs assess and promote PA practices to reduce risk and manage diseases such as obesity, hypercholesterolemia and T2DM (Luther et al., 2019). For example, PA interventions may include establishing specific goals and monitoring progress, seeking social support from friends or family for maintenance of PA, use of rewards and positive self-talk to reinforce progress, and integrating problem-solving strategies to prevent relapse (USDHHS, 2018). The PAR-Q (Warburton et al., 2015) was developed as a simple tool that may be used to assess PA readiness with only 7 questions and a yes/no assessment about medications, health conditions and implications for physical response.

PHNs can provide virtual coaching by utilizing technology-based approaches (i.e., text, telephone, or internet) to establish and achieve PA-related goals (USDHHS, 2018). Realistic goals are recommended for those individuals who are currently inactive to improve sustainability – for example, walking 5–10 minutes per session and gradually increasing intensity or duration (Khoury et al., 2019). Walking is an excellent exercise for nearly everyone; it is free and accessible and can usually be performed at any intensity (Moxley & Kruk, 2016). Specifically,
CMs may promote PA behavior educating and coaching patients, monitoring progression toward improving health outcomes, offering comprehensive health assessments and recognizing changes in health status (Lamb, 2014).

PHNs may collaborate with the community, the fitness industry and schools (CDC, 2019) to implement safe, developmentally appropriate PA interventions, PHNs who are experts in population health and knowledgeable of community settings can provide expertise and skills to successfully implement PA by initially addressing challenges with PA engagement such as a lack of safe access to activity, communities not designed for PA, or environments in which chronic diseases or physical limitations impair access to PA engagement, barriers to PA can be reduced.

The SEM can be used to guide interventions by addressing factors that influence PA behavior. Focused strategies (Figure 1) may facilitate the implementation of community level PA programs and promote greater sustainability for change, which consists of five interventions: Point-of-Decision Prompts, School Policies and Practices, Access to indoor or Outdoor Recreation Facilities or Outlets, Community-Wide Campaign, and Community Design.

***Insert Figure 1 here***

Point-of-Decision Prompts provide signs or other prompts to encourage PA. School policies can improve physical education by providing classroom PA and programs, space, or equipment for PA before and after school and building behavioral skills for PA participation. Promoting PA in children at early ages is recommended to develop patterns for healthy lifestyle habits that are sustainable throughout adulthood (CDC, 2021). The Youth Physical Activity Toolkit was designed by the CDC (2019) to encourage age appropriate and enjoyable PA participation to acquire basic motor skills, social skills of teamwork and sportsmanship, learn self-discipline, self-esteem, and leadership, through play, recreation and sports.
Community-wide campaigns can improve PA by including outreach efforts to increase awareness of PA locations or facilities, promote access to indoor or outdoor recreation facilities and walking trails, and encourage support groups. Design interventions promote PA, particularly for transportation, which entails locating destinations such as schools, stores, or public transportation near homes or workplaces to accommodate walkers, bicyclists, or wheelchair users (USDHHS, 2018).

**Implications for Public Health Nursing Practice**

Coordination of care or collaboration with multidisciplinary teams by PHNs is key for long-term improvements in decreasing coronary disease risk, one of the primary benefits of increasing PA. These interventions are suggested in the classic EUROACTION Trial provides an example of a multidisciplinary, family-based, nurse-led intervention that demonstrated improved lifestyle and a reduction in cardiovascular risk among individuals who were at a high risk of developing CVD. In six hospitals in eight European countries, it was found that of 10 000 high risk patients, nurse-led interventions were more effective than usual care to achieve guideline recommendations associate with coronary disease risk reduction, i.e., PA, nutritional intake, cholesterol targets and smoking cessation (Wood et al., 2008). Application of the SEM is, however, essential as patients must be ready cognitively, emotionally and behaviorally to make changes. To achieve optimal outcomes, it is critical for the coordination of a team, such as CMs to collaborate with PHNs for successful behavioral interventions to occur (Piepoli et al., 2016).

**Future Research**

Although the health benefits from PA are well established, most of the studies evaluating exercise-related outcomes are not randomized studies. Evidence is lacking on biological factors (i.e., genetics) as potential determinants of PA (Lightfoot et al., 2018). Further exploration of
interventions most effective for increasing PA knowledge and PA engagement as well as the optimal dosing of PA interventions (Olsen et al., 2018) is necessary. Additional research on tailoring interventions for diverse populations is currently warranted.

**Conclusion**

Physical activity improves health and decreases the risk for chronic disease. PA is attractive as an alternative to pharmacological interventions to manage cardiovascular risk factors with added benefits of fewer and less severe side effects. Most individuals currently engage in too little PA rather than too much. PHNs can promote and expedite change in existing patterns of PA by implementing creative promotion strategies at the individual or community level. Thoughtful communication, assessment, education and goal setting on the part of PHNs are necessary to assist patients in increasing PA. On a global scale, nurse-led interventions to coordinate care have demonstrated an improvement in risk factors as well as patient outcomes. To increase PA engagement, perhaps the most important intervention is the simplest: motivating people to change their lifestyle. The rewards are well worth the effort.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Activity</td>
<td>Any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that requires energy expenditure, expressed by MET (Caspersen, 1985); activity involving bodily movement, playing, working, chores and recreation (WHO, 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Equivalent of Task (MET)</td>
<td>A unit describing the energy expenditure of a specific activity; the ratio of the rate of energy expended during an activity to the rate of energy expended at rest (USDHHS, 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Planned, structured, and repetitive bodily movement done to improve or maintain one of more components of PA (Caspersen et al.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Inactivity</td>
<td>Not participating in recommended quantity of regular PA (Trembley et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary Behavior</td>
<td>Waking behavior characterized by low level of energy expenditure (less than or equal to 1.5 METs) while sitting, reclining, or lying (self-reported sitting; leisure-time, occupational, total), television viewing, screen time, low levels of movement measured by devices that assess movement or posture (USDHHS, 2018; Pate et al., 1995).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Activity Intensity</strong></td>
<td>Rate of energy expenditure and an indicator of the metabolic demand of an activity (Strath et al., 2013).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fitness</strong></td>
<td>Ability to carry out daily tasks with vigor and without fatigue to enjoy leisure and respond to emergencies (USDHHS, 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Factors</td>
<td>Environmental Factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age and demographic</td>
<td>Access to PA facilities (gyms and recreation centers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health status and biologic</td>
<td>Presence of sidewalks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to exercise and self-efficacy</td>
<td>Neighborhood aesthetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment</td>
<td>Infrastructure (access to sidewalks and trails, intersection design, sufficient street lighting, and landscaping)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture (preferences for and opportunities to engage in PA and shared familial, genetic or environmental)</td>
<td>Social environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological, cognitive and emotional</td>
<td>Physical environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(Adapted from Bryan et al., 2017; Fletcher et al., 2018; Omura et al., 2020; Sallis & Owen, 1999; Webber-Ritchey et al., 2021)*
Table 3. METs of common activities as very light, light, moderate or vigorous intensity (ACSM, 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Light</th>
<th>Light</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Vigorous</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5 METs</td>
<td>2-&lt; 3 METs</td>
<td>3-6.0 METs</td>
<td>6.0-8.8 METs</td>
<td>≥8.8 METs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting at computer or light hand tools = 1.5</td>
<td>Washing dishes, ironing, cooking = 2.5</td>
<td>Walking = 3.0</td>
<td>Walking; extremely brisk pace (4.5 mph) = 6.3</td>
<td>Jog 5mph = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walking at very brisk pace = 5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Run 7 mph = 11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hiking = 7.0-8.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Competitive soccer = 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts and crafts, playing cards, = 1.5</td>
<td>Billiards, croquet, darts = 2.5</td>
<td>Carpentry = 3.6</td>
<td>Shoveling = 7.0</td>
<td>Ski cross country skiing = 7.0 - 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking slowly around home or office = 2</td>
<td>Fishing = 2.5</td>
<td>Carrying wood = 5.5</td>
<td>Heavy farming = 8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing musical instruments = 2.0-2.5</td>
<td>Sail boating = 3.0</td>
<td>Shooting baskets = 4.5 fast dancing = 4.5</td>
<td>Bicycling, flat surface - moderate effort;12-14 mph = 6.0</td>
<td>Bicycle race (14-16 mph) = 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slow dancing = 3.0</td>
<td>Golf, walking with clubs = 4.3</td>
<td>Leisurely swimming = 6.0</td>
<td>Moderate - hard swimming = 8.0-11.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 caption

Note. Using a socioecological framework as a guide, the two inner ovals represent intrapersonal factors and interpersonal level influences. These interventions should be implemented at the community level (the largest oval) where five physical activity strategies are recommended for longer lasting change in PA. (This figure was adapted from Stokols (2000, 2004).