

Northern Illinois University Law Review

Volume 1 | Issue 1

Article 14

11-1-1980

Vol. 1, no. 1, Winter 1980: Table of Contents

Northern Illinois University Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://huskiecommons.lib.niu.edu/niulr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Northern Illinois University Law Review (1980) "Vol. 1, no. 1, Winter 1980: Table of Contents," *Northern Illinois University Law Review*. Vol. 1: Iss. 1, Article 14.

Available at: <https://huskiecommons.lib.niu.edu/niulr/vol1/iss1/14>

This Other/Newsletter is brought to you for free and open access by Huskie Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Northern Illinois University Law Review by an authorized editor of Huskie Commons. For more information, please contact jschumacher@niu.edu.

Northern Illinois University Law Review

Volume 1

1980

Number 1

The Governor James R. Thompson Lecture Series

Introduction 1

Making the Punishment Fit the Corporation:
The Problems of Finding an Optimal Corporation
Criminal Sanction 3

John C. Coffee, Jr.

A discussion of the major issues which hinder effective punishment and deterrence of corporate crime, followed by specific proposals for a system of sanctions more responsive to these traditional problems areas.

Commentary: The Interplay Between Corporate
Liability and the Liability of Corporate
Officers 36

Norval Morris

A response to Professor Coffee's presentation which emphasizes the necessity of considering corporate and individual liability simultaneously in order to attain more complete solutions.

Commentary: The Due Process Considerations
in the Imposition of Corporate Liability 39

Mark Crane

An analysis that criticizes Professor Coffee's suggestions for corporate sanctions as being violative of fundamental notions of due process. Mr. Crane also observes that the threat of individual liability may be the most effective method of corporate deterrence.

Rebuttal: The Individual or the Firm?
Focusing the Threat of Criminal Liability 48

John C. Coffee, Jr.

Professor Coffee responds to Mr. Crane's commentary and discusses the inherent problems of focusing criminal liability exclusively upon the individual.

ARTICLE

Products Liability: Should Illinois Allow
Recovery for Property Damage Absent Personal
Injury? 57

Thomas J. O'Brien

Judge O'Brien identifies the factors which should permit or deny recovery for property damage in products liability cases absent personal injury. Vari-

ous types of damages are classified and analyzed to determine the types of loss which should be compensable under Illinois law.

CASENOTES

***Mobile v. Bolden: New Standards of Review for Effective Political Representation* 83**

An analysis of the Court's opinion requiring a showing of purposeful discrimination for claims of vote dilution and the decision's effect upon the success of future challenges to at-large electoral system.

***Branti v. Finkel: A Fresh Look at the Spoils System* 103**

This note focuses on the role of the first amendment in patronage dismissals and analyzes the implications of the Court's modification of the *Elrod* decision.

***Diamond v. Chakrabarty: Living Things as Statutory Subject Matter* 119**

An analysis of the Court's opinion allowing a genetically engineered microorganism to constitute patentable subject matter within the scope of 35 U.S.C. § 101, and the implications of allowing inventors to now obtain patent protection for their living inventions.

***Owen v. City of Independence: Municipal Liability, An Evolving Trend* 141**

A characterization of the *Owen* decision, which denies municipalities a good faith defense, as part of a judicial trend to increase an individual's access to federal courts for violations of section 1983 of the Civil Rights Act.

COMMENT

Unequal Access to Separate Counsel: An Equal Protection Problem 155

Explores joint representation of criminal co-defendants from the viewpoints of the ABA Code of Professional Responsibility and the sixth amendment right to effective assistance of counsel, suggesting that the presumption of effectiveness of joint counsel for indigent co-defendants constitutes a denial of equal protection.

BOOK REVIEW

**FOLDED LIES: Bribery, Crusades & Reforms
Rodolphe de Seife 177**